# **Incident Management Successful Practices**

A Cross-Cutting Study



Improving Mobility and Saving Lives

## Foreword

Dear Reader,

We have scanned the country and brought together the collective wisdom and expertise of transportation professionals implementing Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) projects across the United States. This information will prove helpful as you set out to plan, design, and deploy ITS in your communities.

This document is one in a series of products designed to help you provide ITS solutions that meet your local and regional transportation needs. The series contains a variety of formats to communicate with people at various levels within your organization and among your community stakeholders:

- Benefits Brochures let experienced community leaders explain in their own words how specific ITS technologies have benefited their areas;
- Cross-Cutting Studies examine various ITS approaches that can be taken to meet your community's goals;
- Case Studies provide in-depth coverage of specific approaches taken in real-life communities across the United States; and
- Implementation Guides serve as "how to" manuals to assist your project staff in the technical details of implementing ITS.

ITS has matured to the point that you are not alone as you move toward deployment. We have gained experience and are committed to providing our state and local partners with the knowledge they need to lead their communities into the next century.

The inside back cover contains details on the documents in this series, as well as sources to obtain additional information. We hope you find these documents useful tools for making important transportation infrastructure decisions.

Christine M. Johnson Program Manager, Operations Director, ITS Joint Program Office Federal Highway Administration

Edward L. Thomas Associate Administrator for Research, Demonstration and Innovation

Edward 2. Thon

Federal Transit Administration

#### NOTICE

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trademarks or manufacturers' names appear herein only because they are considered essential to the objective of this document.

## **Contents**

Incident Management Overview	
Why Is Incident Management for You?	4
Incident Congestion and Impacts	6
Who Is Involved?	7
<ul> <li>Transportation Agencies</li> </ul>	8
<ul> <li>Law Enforcement</li> </ul>	9
<ul><li>Service Patrols</li></ul>	10
<ul> <li>Fire and Rescue</li> </ul>	12
– HAZMAT	13
<ul> <li>Towing and Recovery</li> </ul>	14
Incident Detection and Verification	16
Incident Response	18
Site Management	19
Incident Clearance	20
Interagency Coordination and Cooperation	22
Incident Management Training and Leadership	24
Strategic Planning for Incident Management	26

## **Incident Management Overview**

#### What Is Incident Management?


Incident management is the process of managing multi-agency, multi-jurisdictional responses to highway traffic disruptions. Efficient and coordinated management of incidents reduces their adverse impacts on public safety, traffic conditions, and the local economy.

This document focuses on managing the majority of traffic incidents, averaging less than two hours in duration, through the daily coordination described in the following pages. Incidents of longer duration, special events, such as a Super Bowl or the Summer Olympics, and natural disasters, such as hurricanes or

earthquakes, have significant impact on traffic and demand resources from the organizations identified in this and other documents. These types of major events also require considerable planning and preparation from a wider scope of participants. For example, although public transit is likely not a significant participant in brief traffic incidents, it is a

critical component in addressing a major regional event.

While this document focuses on managing typical traffic incidents, these same factors are essential to successfully managing both small and large scale incidents: having a plan, and executing it with full cooperation among all of the organizations involved.







"The North Carolina incident management program does a very effective job of responding to incidents on our highways...it greatly reduces the negative impact of incidents on the free flow of traffic."

—Frank Emory, Jr., Member of the North Carolina Board of Transportation

**Incident Site Management** 

**Traffic Management** 

**Incident Clearance** 

Recovery



## Why Is Incident Management for You?

Incident management yields significant benefits through reduced vehicle delays and enhanced safety to motorists through the reduction of incident frequency and improved response and clearance times. These delay savings and the consequent increased travel speeds considerably reduce vehicle emissions. Across the nation, incident management programs have delivered significant and measurable benefits

#### MINNESOTA

#### Minnesota Highway Helper Prog

- Duration of vehicle stalls reduced by 8 minutes
- Annual delay savings due to reduced delay assessed at \$1.4 million (progra operation costs \$600,000/year)

#### SAN FRANCISCO, CA

Freeway Service Patrol (Since August 1992)

- Assisted more than 90,000 drivers (as of January 1997)
- Hydrocarbon emissions reduced by 32 kg/day
- Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions reduced by 322 kg/day
- Nitrous oxides (NOx) emissions reduced by 798 kg/day

## DENVER, CO

- Courtesy Patrol Program
- Traffic delay costs reduced by \$0.80 - \$1.0 million for the A.M. period
- Traffic delay costs reduced by \$0.90 - \$0.95 million for the P.M. period
- Benefit to cost ratio from 10.5:1 to 16.9:1

#### SAN ANTONIO, TX

#### TransGuide System

- · Total accidents reduced 35%
- · Total accidents reduced 40% during inclement weather
- · Secondary incidents reduced 30%
- Overall accident rate reduced 41%
- · Significant improvements in driver confidence
- Average response time reduced 20%
- · Average delay savings per incident: 700 vehicle-hours
- Average reduction in fuel consumption per incident: 2600 gallons
- Benefits translate to annual savings of \$1.65 Million

that justify existing programs and the initiation of new programs. The benefits illustrated here are a snapshot of experiences from across the country. Each location has unique features as part of its incident management system and hence the benefits presented must be considered in the appropriate context.

## Want more benefits and cost information?

Intelligent Transportation Systems Benefits: 1999 Update (28 May 1999). Electronic Document Library Number 8323.

http://www.its.fhwa.dot.gov/cyberdocs/welcome.htm

and

ITS Benefits and Cost Data Base http://www.mitretek.org/its/benecost.nsf

#### **BROOKLYN, NY**

Gowanus Expressway/Prospect Expressway
Rehabilitation Incident Detection System

Before - Average time to clear any type of incident

= 90 minutes

After - Average time to clear any type of incident = 31 minutes (66% decrease)

#### PHILADELPHIA, PA

I-95 Traffic and Incident Management System (TIMS)

- · Freeway incidents reduced 40%
- Freeway closure time cut by up to 55%
- · Incident severity rate reduced by 8%

#### **MARYLAND**

#### Maryland CHART Program

- Benefit to cost ratio of CHART Program = 5.6:1
- Benefits amount to 2 million vehicle-hours of non-recurrent congestion delay savings per year

#### ATLANTA, GA GDOT NaviGAtor System

- Average time to verify incidents reduced from 4.2 minutes to 1.1 minutes during the first three weeks of system operation
- Average time to generate an automated incident response after incident verification reduced from 9.5 minutes to 4.7 minutes during the first three weeks of system operation
- Mean time between incident verification and the clearance of travel lanes reduced from 40.5 minutes to 24.9 minutes during the first three weeks of system operation
- Maximum time between incident verification and the clearance of travel lanes reduced from 6.25 hours to 1.5 hours during the first three weeks of system operation
- Benefit to cost ratio in 1997: 2.3:1 (calculated as a result of reduced delay due to accidents on the freeway)

#### HOUSTON, TX TranStar System

Annual delay savings of 572,095 vehicle-hours with economic value of \$8.4 million


I-10 Katy Freeway Ramp Metering Program: daily delay savings of 2875 vehicle-hours with economic value of \$37,030. An aggregate of seven example situations of lifting HOV restrictions resulted in savings of between 13,5 and 27 minutes for 12,910 vehicles (over other vehicles remaining in the queue) amounting to total cost savings from \$42,500 to \$85,100. Reduced incident detection & response times cut hydrocarbon emissions by 91 kg/day.

## **Incident Congestion and Impacts**

Traffic incidents are a major cause of congestion on the nation's highway network. More than half of all freeway traffic congestion in the United States is caused by incidents. This incident-related congestion problem is expected to worsen in the near future.

"Incident" refers to any event that degrades safety and slows traffic, including disabled vehicles, crashes, maintenance activities, adverse weather conditions, special events, and debris on the roadway. Incident-related traffic congestion (including secondary impacts) detrimentally affects public safety, the local economy, and the environment. It is estimated that this congestion will cost the U.S. public \$75 billion in lost productivity and 8.4 billion gallons of wasted fuel in the year 2005 (Lindley, 1989).

## **Delay Caused by Incidents**



When incidents occur, lanes are blocked, thereby reducing roadway capacity. As illustrated above, the difference between the traffic demand and available capacity at the incident location determines the delay caused by the incident. By disseminating information about the incident to the public, motorists can make alternative travel plans and delays can be reduced.

## Who is involved?

**State and Local Transportation Agencies** —include state departments of transportation and highway agencies that operate and maintain the road network in the region.

State and Local Law Enforcement Agencies—include state, county, and city police departments that are responsible for public safety and enforcement.

**Fire and Rescue Agencies**—include county and city fire, ambulance, and support response agencies; these also include volunteer and private fire and rescue organizations.


HAZMAT Cleanup Services—include private companies that provide cleanup services for HAZMAT incidents; fire departments have HAZMAT containment capability, but rely upon private companies for HAZMAT cleanup.

**Towing and Recovery Companies**—include private companies that provide towing and recovery services for highway incidents.

Public and Private Traveler Information Providers—include public agencies and private companies that collect, process, and disseminate traffic and transport-related information to benefit travelers using methods such as commercial and cable television, radio, Internet, and changeable message signs.

"Incident management just makes sense. We, as transportation professionals, are responsible for providing the public with an efficiently operated and safe transportation system. The impact of not doing so is significant—personal injuries, time loss, fuel consumption, delays in critical goods reaching their destination, and other economic impacts."

—Thomas Brahms, Executive Director, Institute of Transportation Engineers




## **Transportation Agencies**


#### **Summary**

- Transportation agencies typically focus their response priorities on the restoration of normal traffic flow and minimization of delays
- State transportation agency incident management operations are predominately focused on freeways, and as a result, very few employ integrated arterial signal control for traffic management during incidents
- The primary traveler information devices operated by transportation agencies are changeable message signs and lane control signals, which, at present, seldom provide motorists sufficient information to alter travel plans.

- Traffic operations centers can function as information management centers in support of multiagency operations
- Because route diversion has been proven to be an effective incident management tool, state and local transportation agencies should develop a combined strategy and implementation plan for coordinated arterial signal control during incidents
- Using changeable message signs to provide additional incident information to motorists, such as estimated travel times, improves the value of the information and motorist compliance.



## **Law Enforcement**



#### **Summary**

- Typically, law enforcement agencies are more closely coordinated with transportation agencies than are fire and rescue agencies
- Few law enforcement officers continue to coordinate with the local traffic management center once they are at the scene of an incident
- In several study areas, law enforcement assets are dispatched to every incident, including disabled vehicles
- Crash investigations, especially for fatal crashes, frequently are very time consuming when using traditional investigation techniques.

"It can be awkward for police officers to have a traffic management center dispatch telling them how to do their job. We rotate officers to work in our transportation management center (TMC) and to experience the technology firsthand. Now when they are dispatched to a freeway incident, the police will radio the TMC for more detailed information on the location and severity of an incident."


 Police Captain Timothy Kelly, TMC Operations Supervisor, Houston Metro

- Law enforcement and traffic management center personnel must be coordinated, not simply collocated
- Law enforcement resources will be available to respond to more urgent concerns by drawing on resources, such as closed-circuit television (CCTV) for incident verification and service patrols to respond to disabled vehicles
- By closely coordinating with traffic management center personnel after arriving at the scene of an incident, law enforcement personnel can improve on-scene command and control
- Use of law enforcement personnel on motorcycles for incidents occurring during peak travel periods can improve response times.

## **Service Patrols**

#### Summary

- Service patrols typically offer a broad range of services:
  - All offer basic motorist assistance, debris removal, and vehicle clearance
  - In addition, some offer first aid, basic field repairs, and traffic control assistance
- Motorists have responded very favorably to service patrols, particularly regarding the—
  - Timeliness of assistance
  - Feeling of safety and security derived from uniformed personnel assistance
  - Free services
- In the study areas, service patrols operated only on parts of the local freeway network, bridges, and other controlled-access facilities.




"Our safety service patrol trucks have been a tremendous benefit to us. I consider them as building blocks of our incident management system because the success of our four trucks has won us political support both internally in the DOT and externally with elected officials. We have now been given a budget towards cameras, variable message signs, and other new technologies."

—Patricia Harrison, Safety Director, South Carolina DOT



#### State of the Practice





- To be fully effective, service patrols must communicate and coordinate activities with other responding agencies, and should have access to the proper radio frequencies
- Outsourcing of service patrol operations is beneficial because it—
  - Is easier to allocate and adjust resources according to needs
  - Minimizes unit costs due to competition among providers
- Service patrols on bridges and in tunnels (where access is severely constrained) are critical to restoring the normal traffic flow.

## Fire and Rescue

#### **Summary**

- In some study areas, fire and rescue agencies draw information from CCTV feeds broadcast by local transportation agencies, allowing for timely and accurate dispatch of assets
- Fire and rescue personnel's first priority is the safety of motorists, victims, other responders, and the public; a secondary emphasis is placed on resuming the flow of traffic
- Traffic incident response represents only a small portion of the responsibilities of fire and rescue agencies
- Fire and rescue agencies often are not deeply involved in coordinated multiagency traffic incident management programs.

"During the Olympic Games in Atlanta, as part of our joint response efforts with the GDOT, the state patrol, and the city police, we saw how beneficial the video surveillance cameras were. Being able to view the scene of a freeway incident using the surveillance cameras helped us to better decide the type and number of units to send to the incident."

—Tony Davidson, Chief of Communications, Atlanta Fire Department

- Fire and rescue operations are critical to the development of a cross-functional operations plan
- Fire and rescue agencies have considered modification of their operations procedures to better accommodate traffic management during incidents
- Aggressively seeking and maintaining the involvement of fire and rescue in multiagency planning and coordination will help ensure their full cooperation in traffic incident management activities and programs.



## **HAZMAT**

"Effective first response is critical in successful HAZMAT incident handling. Though HAZMAT incidents are usually handled by fire departments, it is typically the law enforcement or DOT patrols that perform the 'first verification' role. Training these crews to accurately identify the presence and nature of the HAZMAT will greatly alleviate the HAZMAT incident response process. In GDOT, our HEROs are trained not only to identify the HAZMAT involved, but also to contain certain types of spillage using equipment onboard their trucks until the fire department arrives. This alone has saved us hundreds of hours of delay and environmental damages due to spillage on Atlanta highways."

—Joe Stapleton, Assistant State Traffic Operations Engineer, Georgia Department of Transportation

#### **Summary**

- Both state transportation agencies and local fire and rescue agencies respond to HAZMAT incidents
- All study areas employ private HAZMAT cleanup services:
  - A portion of the costs are financed by cleanup charges assessed to the party responsible for the incident
  - Cleanup costs for large spills are very high and are normally recouped
  - Small spills are also costly because of their high frequency, but efforts to recoup costs for cleanups are largely unsuccessful.

- Service patrol vehicles equipped with basic HAZMAT response equipment can more effectively manage the containment of minor spills and protect the incident scene.
- Standard incident management procedures may need to be modified in order to accommodate the risks involved in working with and around hazardous materials at HAZMAT incidents. Specialized techniques and specially qualified personnel are often necessary for safe and effective HAZMAT incident management.
- Providing incentives for HAZMAT contractors based on timeliness and efficiency of response and cleanup can minimize costs while maintaining performance.



## **Towing and Recovery**

#### **Summary**

- Several arrangements for towing are used across the country. The primary types are—
  - Franchise-based towing:
     Services are contracted in several subarea franchises to individual tow operators; this arrangement eliminates situations in which several tow operators compete to service the same incident on a first-come/first-serve basis
  - City- or region-based towing: The towing contract for the entire city or region goes to the lowest bidder; this arrangement reduces the transportation agency's administrative support and monitoring requirements
  - responder (typically the police) calls a tow truck from the next eligible firm in sequence on a rotating list of pre-qualified tow operators. Pre-qualification helps to prevent overcharging of the owner of the disabled vehicle.

# What Are Towing and Recovery Operations?

Towing and recovery are the operations by which a tow truck or other response vehicle clears the roadway of disabled vehicles or accidents and assists in restoring the roadway to full capacity. Public agencies do not provide towing and recovery services; the private sector enters into contracts with the government to provide these services on freeways.



Equipment	Services Provided
Light Tow Trucks	Clear disabled and wreck as cars and vans
Service Patrol Trucks	Provide relocation of disa drop-off sites; provide m
Heavy Tow Trucks and Rotators	Clear disabled and wreck trailers)
Earthmoving Equipment	Used for restoring pavem in case of pavement dam
Inflatable Air Bag Systems	Used to upright overturne
HAZMAT Response Equipment	Used to handle hazardoւ



senger vehicles such

ehicles to designated assistance

vy vehicles (e.g., tractor

safe driving conditions

cles

nical spills

- Because they are critical to rapidly restoring normal traffic flow, towing operators should be involved in interagency incident management training
- A hybrid of traditional and performance-based contracting that requires operators to meet specific requirements (e.g., number of vehicles, response rates and times, storage space, insurance and licensing) can improve responsiveness and reduce cost.

## **Incident Detection and Verification**


#### Summary

- First-responder reports are the most accurate and trustworthy method for incident verification
- Cellular phones are the most common method for incident detection in metropolitan areas:
  - Cellular phone-based incident detection has inherently high detection rates and low cost
  - Incident detection times for cellular phones are generally short—usually less than one minute during peak travel periods
  - The accuracy of incident information from motorists' cellular calls is often insufficient for initiating an early optimal response
- Where available, closed-circuit television (CCTV) is the most cost-effective and efficient method for incident verification
  - Typical CCTV deployment practice has been to provide blanket coverage on selected portions of the freeway network
- Automated incident detection systems are available but not widely used:
  - False alarm rates are typically higher than other detection methods
  - System data requirements demand significant equipment investment and maintenance.

#### What Is Incident Detection?


Incident detection is the determination by a responding authority that an incident has occurred. Detection initiates verification and response activities. Incident detection methods include cellular phone calls from motorists, call boxes located along highways, automated incident detection based on traffic surveillance, CCTV cameras, aerial surveillance, service patrols, and land phones.

## **Detection Methods Used** (as percentage of total incidents)



#### What Is Incident Verification?

Incident verification is the determination of the precise location and nature of the incident. Accurate and detailed information about the incident enable the dispatch of the most appropriate personnel and resources to the scene. Verification methods include in-person verification by dispatched personnel, synthesis of accumulated information from multiple cellular phone calls, and the use of CCTV cameras. Verification is needed to prevent deploying resources to false incident reports.



- Resources allocated to improving incident detection times are best directed at—
  - Providing and promoting toll-free cellular phone numbers to report incidents
  - Training operators to elicit useful information about the incident from motorists
- A centralized system for gathering and disseminating incident detection information facilitates the timely and appropriate dispatch of personnel to the scene
- When funding for CCTV installation is limited, strategic camera placement directed at high-incident locations can be used in place of blanket coverage
- Compressed video offers a costeffective alternative to fullmotion video for most incident verification needs.

## **Incident Clearance**

#### **Summary**

- Incident clearance is typically the most time-consuming step in the incident management process at least twice the duration of other steps in the process
- Incident clearance is a multiagency process with a single objective under the incident command structure approach—to safely remove roadway obstructions and restore the flow of traffic
- Actual clearance times typically are not documented in a comprehensive fashion, making it difficult to assess and improve agency performance.

#### What Is Incident Clearance?

Incident clearance is the safe and timely removal of any stalled vehicles, wreckage, debris, or spilled material from the roadway and its shoulders and the restoration of the roadway to its full capacity.





These deficiencies can be addressed effectively by adopting a structured strategic planning process for incident management at the regional and even statewide levels. By discussing and agreeing upon common objectives and approaches to deal with incidents, agencies can participate in the program knowing that their needs are understood by their partners. Projecting the potential benefits and obtaining top management buy-in at each of the partner agencies will ensure the program's sustainability. A strategic plan must consider the needs of the program's 'customers'—the travelling public and coordinate its efforts with the media and employers in the area to achieve high levels of information dissemination. A phased implementation plan with detailed analysis of the resources needed to deliver the objectives agreed upon, together with a resource sharing plan, will clarify the contribution of each partner and avoid surprises later. These, combined with a comprehensive program evaluation and benefits assessment, will establish the foundation for a long term sustainable incident management program.

Programs such as maintenance management at DOTs and crime prevention and education at law enforcement agencies took several years to grow but have now become core components of the agencies' operations. Incident management programs deserve such 'core' status within partner agencies considering the benefits they deliver. This also can be achieved through interagency strategic planning for incident management.

An implementation guide, currently being developed, will address the issue of strategic planning for incident management. This document will present approaches to successfully plan and operate regional incident management programs in a sustainable fashion. The document will be based on experiences and lessons learned from leading programs around the nation.

A strategic plan must consider the needs of the program's 'customers'—the travelling public.

Incident management programs deserve 'core' status within partner agencies.

#### For further information, contact:

## **Federal Highway Administration Resource Centers**

#### **Eastern Resource Center**

10 S. Howard Street Suite 4000 – HRC-EA Baltimore, MD 21201 Telephone 410-962-0093

#### **Southern Resource Center**

61 Forsyth Street, SW Suite 17T26 – HRC-SO Atlanta, GA 30303-3104 Telephone 404-562-3570

#### Midwestern Resource Center

19900 Governors Highway Suite 301 – HRC-MW Olympia Fields, IL 60461-1021 Telephone 708-283-3510

#### Western Resource Center

201 Mission Street Suite 2100 – HRC-WE San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone 415-744-3102

#### **Federal Transit Administration Regional Offices**

#### Region 1

Volpe National Transportation Systems Center Kendall Square 55 Broadway, Suite 920 Cambridge, MA 02142-1093 Telephone 617-494-2055

#### Region 2

Alexander Hamilton Federal Building 1 Bolling Green, Room 429 New York, NY 10004 Telephone 212-668-2170

#### Region 3

1760 Market Street, Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19103-4124 Telephone 215-656-7100

#### Region 4

Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth Street, SW Suite 17T50 Atlanta, GA 30303-3104 Telephone 404-562-3500

#### Region 5

200 West Adams Street 24<sup>th</sup> Floor, Suite 2410 Chicago, IL 60606-5232 Telephone 312-353-2789

#### Region 6

819 Taylor Street Room 8A36 Fort Worth, TX 76102 Telephone 817-978-0550

#### Region 7

901 Locust Street, Suite 40 Kansas City, MO 64106 Telephone 816-329-3920

#### Region 8

Columbine Place 216 16<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 650 Denver, CO 80202-5120 Telephone 303-844-3242

#### Region 9

201 Mission Street, Suite 2210 San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 Telephone 415-744-3133

#### Region 10

Jackson Federal Building 915 Second Avenue, Suite 3142 Seattle, WA 98174-1002 Telephone 206-220-7954

# THIS DOCUMENT IS ONE IN A SERIES OF PRODUCTS THAT ADDRESS ITS ISSUES PERTINENT TO A VARIETY OF AUDIENCES

Elected and Appointed Officials • Senior Decision Makers
Transportation Managers • Technical Experts

#### Representing:

States • Cities • Counties • Transit Properties • Toll Authorities

Emergency Service Providers • Metropolitan Planning Organizations

Additional Transportation Stakeholders

#### Products Available in This Series:



 Benefits Brochures quote how ITS technologies have benefited specific areas



**Technical Reports** include results from various Field Operation Tests.



Cross Cutting Studies present current data from related ITS applications



Implementation Guides assist project staff in the technical details of implementing ITS



Case Studies provide in-depth coverage of ITS applications in specific projects.

#### ITS Topics Addressed in This Series:

- Commercial Vehicle Operations
- EMERGENCY SERVICES
- Enabling Technologies
- Emissions Management
- Freeway and Arterial Management
- Planning and Integration
- Real-Time Traveler Information
- Transit, Toll, and Rail Management
- Weather Information for Travelers
   AND Maintenance

For a current listing of available documents, please visit our Web site at: www.its.dot.gov

"One of our priorities on the I-70 Corridor is to get enough traveler information out to reduce the traveler and incident responder problems. With good information about an incident, travelers can either delay their trips or take an alternative route, and the incident responders have less congestion to manage."

 John Muscatell, Manager, Staff Traffic and Safety Branch, Colorado DOT

INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS



U.S. Department of Transportation 400 7th Street, SW Washington, DC 20590

Federal Highway Administration Room 3416, HOIT-1 Phone: (202) 366-0722 Facsimile: (202) 493-2027 Federal Transit Administration Room 9402,TRI-10 Phone: (202) 366-4991 Facsimile: (202) 366-3765